



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/779,328

02/13/2004

Umesh Madan

MS1-1851US

5250

22801

7590

07/31/2008

LEE & HAYES PLLC

421 W RIVERSIDE AVENUE SUITE 500

SPOKANE, WA 99201

EXAMINER

TSAI, SHENG JEN

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2186

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

07/31/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/779,328	Applicant(s) MADAN ET AL.	
	Examiner SHENG-JEN TSAI	Art Unit 2186	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 April 2008.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3,5-11,13,14 and 16-38 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3,5-11, 13-14 and 16-38 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 13 February 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action is taken in response to Applicants' Request for Continued Examination (RCE) filed on April 21, 2008 regarding application 10/779,328 filed on February 13, 2004.

2. Claims 1, 3, 8, 17-18, 20-21, 23, 25, 27-28 and 32 have been amended.
Claims 4, 12 and 15 have been cancelled.
Claims 1-3, 5-11, 13-14 and 16-38 are pending for consideration.

3. ***Response to Remarks and Amendments***

Applicants' amendments and remarks have been fully and carefully considered. Independent claims 1, 8, 17, 27 and 32 have been amended with the additional limitation of "assign a weight value to a filter based on the size of the filter, wherein the weight value denotes the relative size of the filter in relation to other filters."

In response, a new ground of claim analysis based on a newly identified reference (Caccavale, US 5,892,937) has been made. Refer to the corresponding sections of the following claim analysis for details.

4. ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

6. Claims 32-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Caccavale (US 5,892,937).

As to claim 32, Caccavale discloses **an inverse query engine having an integrated cache** [data cache (title; abstract; col. 10, line 33 to col. 12, lines 64)], **the inverse query engine configured to assign a weight value to a filter of the integrated cache based on an estimate of the size of the filter, wherein the weight value denotes the relative size of the filter in relation to other filters of the integrated cache** [Size of a buffer in the small region of a heterogenous data cache Bs: the size in bytes of a buffer in the small region of a heterogenous data cache (all buffers in region of identical size); Size of a buffer in the medium region of a heterogenous data cache Bm: the size in bytes of a buffer in the medium region of a heterogenous data cache (all buffers in region of identical size); Size of a buffer in the large region of a heterogenous data cache Bl: the size in bytes of a buffer in the large region of a heterogenous data cache (all buffers in region of identical size) (col. 11, lines 10-24); note that “small (Bs),” “medium (Bm),” and “larger (Bl)” are the corresponding “weight” value assigned in accordance with the size].

As to claim 33, Caccavale teaches that **the inverse query engine as recited in claim 32, wherein the cache is bound to a finite size** [Maximum size for data cache Smax: the maximum size to which the data cache can be grown. This value is either calculated by the tuning system 1 during the initial system configuration or is a user-defined value (col. 10, lines 45-49)].

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

8. Claims 34-36 and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Caccavale (US 5,892,937), and in view of Chen et al. (US 2004/0001498, hereinafter referred to as Chen).

Regarding claim 34, Caccavale teaches the inverse query engine as recited in claim 32, but does not teach that the cache is maintained within predefined limits by removing expired filters from a set of filters stored in the cache.

However, Chen teaches this limitation [paragraph 0156: 21) time-out parameters for filter; paragraph 0151: 2) filters should expire after the subscribers have moved; paragraph 0154: 16) expiration of filters; paragraph 0158: 3) filter persistency (e.g., expiration time-outs); paragraph 0176: filter sender may have included a filter time-out. In this case, the determining step 458 checks the filter to see if the filter has expired; thus a filter is removed (i.e. not propagated any further) if it has expired; paragraph 0178: filter removal].

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skills in the art to remove expired filters from a set of filters stored in the cache, as demonstrated by Chen, and to incorporate it into the existing system disclosed by Caccavale because Chen teaches that doing so would allow facilitating propagating filters efficiently,

alleviate the burden on processing elements, and add values to the applications [paragraph 0008].

As to claim 35, Caccavale in view of Chen teaches **the inverse query engine as recited in claim 34 wherein an expired filter is a filter having an expiration time associated therewith than is earlier than a current time** [Chen: paragraph 0156: 21) time-out parameters for filter; paragraph 0151: 2) filters should expire after the subscribers have moved; paragraph 0154: 16) expiration of filters; paragraph 0158: 3) filter persistency (e.g., expiration time-outs); paragraph 0176: filter sender may have included a filter time-out. In this case, the determining step 458 checks the filter to see if the filter has expired; thus a filter is removed (i.e. not propagated any further) if it has expired; paragraph 0178: filter removal].

As to claim 36, Caccavale in view of Chen teaches **the inverse query engine as recited in claim 34 wherein an expired filter is a filter that has been stored in the cache for at least a specified period of time** [Chen: paragraph 0156: 21) time-out parameters for filter; paragraph 0151: 2) filters should expire after the subscribers have moved; paragraph 0154: 16) expiration of filters; paragraph 0158: 3) filter persistency (e.g., expiration time-outs); paragraph 0176: filter sender may have included a filter time-out. In this case, the determining step 458 checks the filter to see if the filter has expired; thus a filter is removed (i.e. not propagated any further) if it has expired; paragraph 0178: filter removal].

As to claim 38, Caccavale in view of Chen teaches **the inverse query engine as recited in claim 37, wherein the at least one filter is removed only if the filter**

does not have an indication associated therewith that identifies the filter as a permanent filter that is not to be removed from the cache [Chen: paragraph 0178:

There are cases in some publish-subscribe network strategy (e.g., CBT) where filters have no expiration time-outs, and once they have been propagated to one node, they will remain persistent over that link until some changes to the filter set (e.g., filter addition, filter removal, etc.) take place].

9. Claim 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Caccavale (US 5,892,937), and in view of Schneider (US 5,668,987).

Regarding claim 37, Caccavale teaches **the inverse query engine as recited in claim 32, wherein the cache is maintained within predefined limits** [Caccavale: Maximum size for data cache Smax: the maximum size to which the data cache can be grown. This value is either calculated by the tuning system 1 during the initial system configuration or is a user-defined value (col. 10, lines 45-49)] but does not teach **removing at least one filter from a group of filters stored in the cache that has been used less recently than other filters in the group of filters.**

However, the Least Recently Used (LRU) algorithm is a well-known technique for cache replacement in the art and is commonly implemented in cache systems.

Further, Schneider teaches this limitation [Finally, an "LRU Depth" of the deepest hit in the cache is also tracked. Since the cache can adjust its size dynamically, it itself has a "depth"--that is, how many rows comprise the cache at a given instance. An "LRU Depth" variable is therefore employed to track how deep (i.e., the deepest row)

into the cache there has been a "hit" (column 2, lines 20-40); generally cache rows are filled on a least-recently used (LRU) basis (column 7, lines 26-28)].

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skills in the art to use the LRU algorithm for cache replacement, as demonstrated by Chen, and to incorporate it into the existing system disclosed by Caccavale because LRU is the most commonly used technique for cache replacement.

10. Claims 1-3, 5-11, 13-14, and 16-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schneider (US 5,668,987), in view of Chen et al. (US 2004/0001498, hereinafter referred to as Chen), and further in view of Caccavale (US 5,892,937).

As to claim 1, Schneider and Chen disclose **a method, comprising:**

receiving a request to add a new filter [Schneider]: After the cache is scanned and a "miss" occurs (i.e., no match), the subquery is executed and its input value(s)/result value pair is added to the top (i.e., first row) of the subquery cache (column 7, lines 28-33); [Chen] also teaches receiving a request to add a new filter (a router sends notification requesting filters, paragraph 0011) **to a filter table** [Schneider]: figure 3A shows examples of filter tables TABLE T1 (310) and TABLE T2 (320); [Chen] shows it in figure 18] **stored in an inverse query engine cache** [Schneider]: figure 2, 260 shows the inverse query engine; figure 3B shows a subquery cache (340) which is part of the query engine; [Chen] shows it in figures 7 and 8];

adding the new filter to the filter table [Schneider]: After the cache is scanned and a "miss" occurs (i.e., no match), the subquery is executed and its input value(s)/result value pair is added to the top (i.e., first row) of the subquery cache (column 7, lines 28-

33); [Chen]: abstract: a plurality of filters are received], **wherein the new filter comprises a condition field** [Chen]: paragraph 0156: 5) filters may involve simple (e.g., >, ==, <=, etc.) or complicated tests or operators (e.g., membership test, regular expression, string matching, range operators such as intersection queries, enclosure queries, containment queries etc.), **a data field** [Schneider]: because each filter contained in the filter tables (Tables T1 and T2, figure 3A, 310 and 320) has a data field; [Chen]: paragraph 0156: 4) filters may involve simple (integer, Boolean) or complicated data type], **an expiration time field** [Chen]: paragraph 0156: 21) time-out parameters for filter; paragraph 0151: 2) filters should expire after the subscribers have moved; paragraph 0154: 16) expiration of filters; paragraph 0158: 3) filter persistency (e.g., expiration time-outs); paragraph 0176: filter sender may have included a filter time-out. In this case, the determining step 458 checks the filter to see if the filter has expired], **a filter weight field** [Chen]: paragraph 0156: 3) filters may involve fixed size or variable-size attributes], **and a permanent flag field** [Chen]: paragraph 0178: There are cases in some publish-subscribe network strategy (e.g., CBT) where filters have no expiration time-outs, and once they have been propagated to one node, they will remain persistent over that link until some changes to the filter set (e.g., filter addition, filter removal, etc.) take place], the permanent flag field being a Boolean field indicating that the new filter is not to be removed from the filter table during an expire cache operation or a trim cache operation [Chen]: paragraph 0178: There are cases in some publish-subscribe network strategy (e.g., CBT) where filters have no expiration time-outs, and once they have been propagated to one node, they will remain persistent

Art Unit: 2186

over that link until some changes to the filter set (e.g., filter addition, filter removal, etc.) take place];

assuming a weight value in the filter weight field to the new filter based on an estimate of a size of the new filter, wherein the weight value demotes the relative size of the new filter in relation to other filters stored in the filter table of the inverse query engine [Chen]: paragraph 0156: 3) filters may involve fixed size or

variable-size attributes; [Caccavale]: Size of a buffer in the small region of a

heterogenous data cache Bs: the size in bytes of a buffer in the small region of a

heterogenous data cache (all buffers in region of identical size); Size of a buffer in the

medium region of a heterogenous data cache Bm: the size in bytes of a buffer in the

medium region of a heterogenous data cache (all buffers in region of identical size);

Size of a buffer in the large region of a heterogenous data cache Bl: the size in bytes of

a buffer in the large region of a heterogenous data cache (all buffers in region of

identical size) (col. 11, lines 10-24); note that “small (Bs),” “medium (Bm),” and “larger

(Bl)” are the corresponding “weight” value assigned in accordance with the size]

determining the filter table of a bounded size [Schneider]: While the number of

items is less than the cache size (step 401), the method continues to execute and

maintain the cache at maximum size; any unique items (i.e., values) are added to the

cache (column 8, lines 26-29)];

maintaining the inverse query engine cache at or below a maximum cache size,

wherein the size of the inverse query engine cache may be indicated by size of

the filter table, estimate of size of the filter table, or by cache usage [Schneider]:

Art Unit: 2186

While the number of items is less than the cache size (step 401), the method continues to execute and maintain the cache at maximum size; any unique items (i.e., values) are added to the cache (column 8, lines 26-29)) by trimming old, least used items from the table (generally cache rows are filled on a least-recently used_(LRU) basis (column 7, lines 26-28)), **wherein the inverse query engine cache comprises a control module** [Schneider]: the engine, figure 2, 260; [Chen]: the intelligent router, figure 4, 92], **a cache** [it is inherent that the query engine cache has a cache, otherwise it will not be a cache], **an add filter module** [Schneider]: While the number of items is less than the cache size (step 401), the method continues to execute and maintain the cache at maximum size; any unique items (i.e., values) are added to the cache (column 8, lines 26-29)), **a remove filter module** [Schneider] teaches any unique items (i.e., values) are added to the cache (column 8, lines 26-29)) by trimming old, least used items from the table (generally cache rows are filled on a least-recently used (LRU) basis (column 7, lines 26-28); Chen: paragraph 0178: filter removal], **a matcher** [Schneider]: The principle advantage is if a cache hit (i.e., lookup with appropriate match) occurs, the system has saved time which would otherwise be required for executing the subquery to resolve a subquery result value (column 6, lines 49-53); [Chen]: All notifications matching the subscription can be delivered to the subscriber via a callback or other type of function that the subscriber provides at the time it registers its subscription or at other times. One subscription can be broken down into many filters (paragraph 0039)], **a maintainer** [Schneider]: While the number of items is less than the cache size (step 401), the method continues to execute and maintain the cache at maximum size; any

Art Unit: 2186

unique items (i.e., values) are added to the cache (column 8, lines 26-29)], **an expire module** [Chen]: paragraph 0156: 21) time-out parameters for filter; paragraph 0151: 2) filters should expire after the subscribers have moved; paragraph 0154: 16) expiration of filters; paragraph 0158: 3) filter persistency (e.g., expiration time-outs); paragraph 0176: filter sender may have included a filter time-out. In this case, the determining step 458 checks the filter to see if the filter has expired], **a trim module** [Schneider] teaches any unique items (i.e., values) are added to the cache (column 8, lines 26-29)) by trimming old, least used items from the table (generally cache rows are filled on a least-recently used (LRU) basis (column 7, lines 26-28); Chen: paragraph 0178: filter removal], **a cache weight module** [Schneider]: In particular, a subquery cache is provided having a size which can be dynamically adjusted by the system during execution of the query, for achieving an optimal cache size (abstract); While the number of items is less than the cache size (step 401), the method continues to execute and maintain the cache at maximum size; any unique items (i.e., values) are added to the cache (column 8, lines 26-29); [Chen]: paragraph 0156: 3) filters may involve fixed size or variable-size attributes], **a cache weight** [Schneider]: In particular, a subquery cache is provided having a size which can be dynamically adjusted by the system during execution of the query, for achieving an optimal cache size (abstract); While the number of items is less than the cache size (step 401), the method continues to execute and maintain the cache at maximum size; any unique items (i.e., values) are added to the cache (column 8, lines 26-29); [Chen]: paragraph 0156: 3) filters may involve fixed size or variable-size attributes], **an optimal weight** [Schneider]: In

particular, a subquery cache is provided having a size which can be dynamically adjusted by the system during execution of the query, for achieving an optimal cache size (abstract); While the number of items is less than the cache size (step 401), the method continues to execute and maintain the cache at maximum size; any unique items (i.e., values) are added to the cache (column 8, lines 26-29)], **a maximum**

weight [Schneider]: In particular, a subquery cache is provided having a size which can be dynamically adjusted by the system during execution of the query, for achieving an optimal cache size (abstract); While the number of items is less than the cache size (step 401), the method continues to execute and maintain the cache at maximum size; any unique items (i.e., values) are added to the cache (column 8, lines 26-29)], **a filter**

table [Schneider]: the query engine (figure 2, 260) contains filter tables (Tables, figure 2, 250; Tables T1 and T2, figure 3A, 310 and 320); [Chen]: abstract: a filter receiving module, a filter reduction module, and a filter propagation module], **a most recently used list** [Chen]: Intelligent router 92 time marks the data (step 324) and locally caches it such as in memory 94 or secondary storage 97 (step 326). It indexes the cached data by, for example, channel ID, subjects, and time stamps (step 328) (paragraph 0100); It should be noted that the time stamps information provides directly the most recently used as well as the least recently used attributes; [Schneider]: generally cache rows are filled on a least-recently used_(LRU) basis (column 7, lines 26-28)], **and an expiration list** [Chen]: paragraph 0156: 21) time-out parameters for filter; paragraph 0151: 2) filters should expire after the subscribers have moved; paragraph 0154: 16) expiration of filters; paragraph 0158: 3) filter persistency (e.g., expiration time-outs);

paragraph 0176: filter sender may have included a filter time-out. In this case, the determining step 458 checks the filter to see if the filter has expired];

wherein the expiration list comprises a filter identifier including an expiration value in the expiration field [Chen]: paragraph 0156: 21) time-out parameters for

filter; paragraph 0151: 2) filters should expire after the subscribers have moved;

paragraph 0154: 16) expiration of filters; paragraph 0158: 3) filter persistency (e.g., expiration time-outs); paragraph 0176: filter sender may have included a filter time-out.

In this case, the determining step 458 checks the filter to see if the filter has expired];

removing a filter based on an expiration time [Chen]: paragraph 0176: filter sender may have included a filter time-out. In this case, the determining step 458 checks the filter to see if the filter has expired; thus a filter is removed (i.e. not propagated any further) if it has expired];

trimming the filter table upon the occurrence of the filter table reaching the maximum weight, by determining the cache weight and identifying filters to be removed and removing filters from the filter table to obtain the optimal weight

[Schneider] teaches maintaining the inverse query engine cache at or below a maximum cache size as new items are added to the filter table (While the number of items is less than the cache size (step 401), the method continues to execute and maintain the cache at maximum size; any unique items (i.e., values) are added to the cache (column 8, lines 26-29)) by trimming old, least used items from the table (generally cache rows are filled on a least-recently used (LRU) basis (column 7, lines 26-28); [Chen]: paragraph 0178: filter removal; In particular, a subquery cache is

Art Unit: 2186

provided having a size which can be dynamically adjusted by the system during execution of the query, for achieving an optimal cache size (abstract)]; **and wherein the inverse query engine cache is used exclusively by an inverse query engine to store filters associated therewith** [Schneider: Database system and methods are described for improving execution speed of database queries (e.g., for decision support) by optimizing execution of nested queries or "subqueries," such as are commonly used in client/server database environments. In particular, a subquery cache is provided having a size which can be dynamically adjusted by the system during execution of the query, for achieving an optimal cache size (abstract)].

Regarding claim 1, Schneider teaches that the new filter comprises a data field, but does not teach a condition field, an expiration time field, a filter weight field, or a permanent field.

However, Chen's filters comprise all these fields: **a condition field** [Chen: paragraph 0156: 5) filters may involve simple (e.g., >, ==, <=, etc.) or complicated tests or operators (e.g., membership test, regular expression, string matching, range operators such as intersection queries, enclosure queries, containment queries etc.)], **a data field** [Schneider: because each filter contained in the filter tables (Tables T1 and T2, figure 3A, 310 and 320) has a data field; Chen: paragraph 0156: 4) filters may involve simple (integer, Boolean) or complicated data type], **an expiration time field** [Chen: paragraph 0156: 21) time-out parameters for filter; paragraph 0151: 2) filters should expire after the subscribers have moved; paragraph 0154: 16) expiration of filters; paragraph 0158: 3) filter persistency (e.g., expiration time-outs); paragraph

0176: filter sender may have included a filter time-out. In this case, the determining step 458 checks the filter to see if the filter has expired], **a filter weight field** [Chen: paragraph 0156: 3) filters may involve fixed size or variable-size attributes], **and a permanent flag field** [Chen: paragraph 0178: There are cases in some publish-subscribe network strategy (e.g., CBT) where filters have no expiration time-outs, and once they have been propagated to one node, they will remain persistent over that link until some changes to the filter set (e.g., filter addition, filter removal, etc.) take place].

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skills in the art to include these fields as filter attributes, as demonstrated by Chen, and to incorporate it into the existing system disclosed by Schneider because Chen teaches that doing so would allow facilitating propagating filters efficiently, alleviate the burden on processing elements, and add values to the applications [paragraph 0008].

Regarding claim 1, Schneider does not explicitly mention **an expire module, an expiration list, and removing a filter based on an expiration item.**

However, Chen teaches these limitations [paragraph 0156: 21) time-out parameters for filter; paragraph 0151: 2) filters should expire after the subscribers have moved; paragraph 0154: 16) expiration of filters; paragraph 0158: 3) filter persistency (e.g., expiration time-outs); paragraph 0176: filter sender may have included a filter time-out. In this case, the determining step 458 checks the filter to see if the filter has expired; paragraph 0176: filter sender may have included a filter time-out. In this case, the determining step 458 checks the filter to see if the filter has expired; thus a filter is removed (i.e. not propagated any further) if it has expired].

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skills in the art to recognize the need to remove the contents of a memory block when the size of the memory is limited, as demonstrated by both Schneider and Chen, and that removing the contents of a memory block based on an expiration time is a straightforward and efficient way of achieving this goal, as demonstrated by Chen, and to incorporate it into the existing system disclosed by Schneider, to further enhances the cache replacement strategy beyond the commonly adopted “Least Recently Used” scheme, and makes better utilization of the precious resource of cache capacity.

Regarding claim 1, Schneider does not explicitly mention the use of **a most recently used list**.

However, Schneider does teach the use of Least Recent Used method for removing an item from the filter table [generally cache rows are filled on a least-recently used (LRU) basis (column 7, lines 26-28)].

Further, Chen teaches time marks the data to be cached [Intelligent router 92 time marks the data (step 324) and locally caches it such as in memory 94 or secondary storage 97 (step 326). It indexes the cached data by, for example, channel ID, subjects, and time stamps (step 328) (paragraph 0100)]. It should be noted that the time stamps information provides directly the most recently used as well as the least recently used attributes.

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skills in the art to include the time stamps information, as demonstrated by Chen, and to incorporate it into the existing system disclosed by Schneider, so that the cache controller would

have the most recently used information in addition to the least recently used information, which would further enhance the replacement/removing scheme of a filter entry.

Regarding claim 1, Schneider does not explicitly teach assigning a weight value in the weight field denoting the relative size of the new filter in relation to other filters stored in the filter table.

However, Chen teaches that [filters may involve fixed-size (e.g., integer, boolean, etc.) or variable-size attributes (e.g., arrays, strings, c structures, etc.) (paragraph 0156)].

Further, Caccavale teaches explicitly that [Size of a buffer in the small region of a heterogenous data cache Bs: the size in bytes of a buffer in the small region of a heterogenous data cache (all buffers in region of identical size); Size of a buffer in the medium region of a heterogenous data cache Bm: the size in bytes of a buffer in the medium region of a heterogenous data cache (all buffers in region of identical size); Size of a buffer in the large region of a heterogenous data cache Bl: the size in bytes of a buffer in the large region of a heterogenous data cache (all buffers in region of identical size) (col. 11, lines 10-24); note that “small (Bs),” “medium (Bm),” and “larger (Bl)” are the corresponding “weight” value assigned in accordance with the size].

Caccavale also teaches that the motivation for assigning weight to a filter is to fine-tuning the size of data cache to improve performance [abstract].

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skills in the art to assign weight to a filter, as demonstrated by Caccavale, and to incorporate it into the

existing system disclosed by Schneider in view of Chen, so that the cache size may be tuned at a finer level in order to improve performance of the system.

As to claim 2, Schneider teaches that **the method as recited in claim 1, further comprising maintaining the size of the inverse query engine cache between an optimal cache size and the maximum cache size** [In particular, a subquery cache is provided having a size which can be dynamically adjusted by the system during execution of the query, for achieving an optimal cache size (abstract); While the number of items is less than the cache size (step 401), the method continues to execute and maintain the cache at maximum size; any unique items (i.e., values) are added to the cache (column 8, lines 26-29)].

As to claim 3, Schneider teaches that **the method as recited in claim 1, wherein the maintaining further comprises:**
determining if the addition of the new filter to the filter table increases the cache size above the maximum cache size [figure 4A, step 401, “while items < cache rows” determines if the size of the items to be added would exceed the size of a cache row];
and
removing one or more filters from the filter table if the addition of the new filter causes the cache size to exceed the maximum cache size [For the latter case (i.e., a cache employing multiple rows or entries), generally cache rows are filled on a least-recently used (LRU) basis (column 7, lines 26-28)].

As to claim 5, Schneider teaches that **the method as recited in claim 1, wherein the maintaining further comprises:**

identifying a weight associated with the new filter [the corresponding weight is the size of the filter item; figure 4A, step 401, "while items < cache rows" determines if the size of the items to be added would exceed the size of a cache row];

adding the weight associated with the new filter to a cache weight that is the sum of filter weights of filters in the filter table, each filter having a filter weight [the corresponding cache weight is the sum of the size of all filter items currently stored in the cache; After the cache is scanned and a "miss" occurs (i.e., no match), the subquery is executed and its input value(s)/result value pair is added to the top (i.e., first row) of the subquery cache (column 7, lines 28-33); While the number of items is less than the cache size (step 401), the method continues to execute and maintain the cache at maximum size; any unique items (i.e., values) are added to the cache (column 8, lines 26-29)]; **and**

comparing the cache weight to the maximum cache size [While the number of items is less than the cache size (step 401), the method continues to execute and maintain the cache at maximum size; any unique items (i.e., values) are added to the cache (column 8, lines 26-29)].

As to claim 6, Chen teaches that **the method as recited in claim 1, further comprising identifying one or more expired filters in the filter table; and wherein the maintaining the inverse query engine cache further comprises removing at least one of the identified expired filters** [paragraph 0156: 21) time-out parameters for filter; paragraph 0151: 2) filters should expire after the subscribers have moved; paragraph 0154: 16) expiration of filters; paragraph 0158: 3) filter persistency (e.g.,

expiration time-outs); paragraph 0176: filter sender may have included a filter time-out. In this case, the determining step 458 checks the filter to see if the filter has expired; thus a filter is removed (i.e. not propagated any further) if it has expired; paragraph 0178: filter removal].

As to claim 7, Schneider teaches that **the method as recited in claim 1, further comprising a least recently used filter in the filter table** [For the latter case (i.e., a cache employing multiple rows or entries), generally cache rows are filled on a least-recently used (LRU) basis (column 7, lines 26-28)]; **and wherein the maintaining the inverse query engine cache further comprises removing the least recently used filter from the filter table when a size of the inverse query engine cache reaches the maximum cache size** [Finally, an "LRU Depth" of the deepest hit in the cache is also tracked. Since the cache can adjust its size dynamically, it itself has a "depth"--that is, how many rows comprise the cache at a given instance. An "LRU Depth" variable is therefore employed to track how deep (i.e., the deepest row) into the cache there has been a "hit" (column 2, lines 20-40)].

As to claim 8, refer to "As to claim 1" presented earlier in this Office Action.

As to claim 9, Chen teaches that **the system as recited in claim 8, further comprising an expiration module configured to remove expired filters from the filter table** [paragraph 0156: 21) time-out parameters for filter; paragraph 0151: 2) filters should expire after the subscribers have moved; paragraph 0154: 16) expiration of filters; paragraph 0158: 3) filter persistency (e.g., expiration time-outs); paragraph 0176: filter sender may have included a filter time-out. In this case, the determining

step 458 checks the filter to see if the filter has expired; thus a filter is removed (i.e. not propagated any further) if it has expired; paragraph 0178: filter removal].

As to claim 10, Chen teaches that **the system as recited in claim 9, wherein the maintainer is further configured to invoke the expiration module when a new filter is added to the filter table** [paragraph 0156: 21) time-out parameters for filter; paragraph 0151: 2) filters should expire after the subscribers have moved; paragraph 0154: 16) expiration of filters; paragraph 0158: 3) filter persistency (e.g., expiration time-outs); paragraph 0176: filter sender may have included a filter time-out. In this case, the determining step 458 checks the filter to see if the filter has expired; thus a filter is removed (i.e. not propagated any further) if it has expired; paragraph 0178: filter removal].

As to claim 11, Schneider teaches that **the system as recited in claim 8, further comprising a trim module configured to remove one or more filters from the filter table when the cache reaches a maximum cache size** [While the number of items is less than the cache size (step 401), the method continues to execute and maintain the cache at maximum size; any unique items (i.e., values) are added to the cache (column 8, lines 26-29); Finally, an "LRU Depth" of the deepest hit in the cache is also tracked. Since the cache can adjust its size dynamically, it itself has a "depth"--that is, how many rows comprise the cache at a given instance. An "LRU Depth" variable is therefore employed to track how deep (i.e., the deepest row) into the cache there has been a "hit" (column 2, lines 20-40)].

As to claim 13, Chen teaches **that the system as recited in claim 11, wherein the trim module is further configured to determine if a permanent flag in a filter is set and, if the permanent flag is set, to leave the filter in the filter table**

[paragraph 0178: There are cases in some publish-subscribe network strategy (e.g., CBT) where filters have no expiration time-outs, and once they have been propagated to one node, they will remain persistent over that link until some changes to the filter set (e.g., filter addition, filter removal, etc.) take place].

As to claim 14, Schneider teaches that **the system as recited in claim 11, wherein:**

a filter weight is associated with each filter in the filter table [the corresponding weight is the size of the filter item; figure 4A, step 401, “while items < cache rows” determines if the size of the items to be added would exceed the size of a cache row]; **the cache size further comprises a cache weight that is a sum of all filter weights in the filter table** [the corresponding cache weight is the sum of the size of all filter items currently stored in the cache; While the number of items is less than the cache size (step 401), the method continues to execute and maintain the cache at maximum size; any unique items (i.e., values) are added to the cache (column 8, lines 26-29)]; **the maximum cache size further comprises a maximum cache weight** [the corresponding cache weight is the sum of the size of all filter items currently stored in the cache; While the number of items is less than the cache size (step 401), the method continues to execute and maintain the cache at maximum size; any unique items (i.e., values) are added to the cache (column 8, lines 26-29)]; **and**

wherein the trim module is further configured to deduct a filter weight from the cache weight when a filter associated with the filter weight is removed from the filter table [For the latter case (i.e., a cache employing multiple rows or entries), generally cache rows are filled on a least-recently used (LRU) basis (column 7, lines 26-28); since the weight is the size, whenever a filter is removed from the cache, the size of the cache decreases by the size of the filter, so as the weight].

As to claim 16, Schneider in view of Chen teaches **the system as recited in claim 11, further comprising: a most recently used list configured to track usage of filter table filters according to when filters are used, and indicating that a filter has been most recently used when the filter is the latest filter to match an input** [Chen: Intelligent router 92 time marks the data (step 324) and locally caches it such as in memory 94 or secondary storage 97 (step 326). It indexes the cached data by, for example, channel ID, subjects, and time stamps (step 328) (paragraph 0100); It should be noted that the time stamps information provides directly the most recently used as well as the least recently used attributes]; **and**

wherein the trim module is further configured to remove the one or more filters from the filter table based on which filters have been least recently used [Schneider: For the latter case (i.e., a cache employing multiple rows or entries), generally cache rows are filled on a least-recently used (LRU) basis (column 7, lines 26-28)].

As to claim 17, it recites substantially the same limitations as in claim 1, and is rejected for the same reasons set forth in the analysis of claim 1. Refer to “As to claim 1” presented earlier in this Office Action for details.

Further, Schneider teaches **defining conditions and processing input that satisfies the conditions** [figure 3A shows an example of the conditions: Select C1 from T1 where C2=(Select MAX(C3) from T2 where T2.C4=T1.C1);

deriving a cache size that is a sum of query sizes of the queries stored in the inverse query engine [In particular, a subquery cache is provided having a size which can be dynamically adjusted by the system during execution of the query, for achieving an optimal cache size (abstract)];

determining if the cache size is at greater than or equal to a maximum cache size, where the cache size may be determined comprising cache usage, size of the query, or estimate of size of query [While the number of items is less than the cache size (step 401), the method continues to execute and maintain the cache at maximum size; any unique items (i.e., values) are added to the cache (column 8, lines 26-29); In particular, a subquery cache is provided having a size which can be dynamically adjusted by the system during execution of the query, for achieving an optimal cache size (abstract)];

removing one or more queries from the inverse query engine cache if the cache size is greater than or equal to the maximum cache size [For the latter case (i.e., a cache employing multiple rows or entries), generally cache rows are filled on a least-recently used (LRU) basis (column 7, lines 26-28)];

deducting the query size of each query removed from the cache size [since the size of the cache is the sum of the size of all queries in the tables, whenever a query is removed from the cache, the size of the cache decreases by the size of the query];

adding the new query to the inverse query engine cache [While the number of items is less than the cache size (step 401), the method continues to execute and maintain the cache at maximum size; any unique items (i.e., values) are added to the cache (column 8, lines 26-29); First, Schneider teaches maintaining the inverse query engine cache at or below a maximum cache size as new items are added to the filter table [While the number of items is less than the cache size (step 401), the method continues to execute and maintain the cache at maximum size; any unique items (i.e., values) are added to the cache (column 8, lines 26-29)] by trimming old, least used items from the table [the method continues to execute and maintain the cache at maximum size; generally cache rows are filled on a least-recently used (LRU) basis (column 7, lines 26-28)]. Thus maintaining the inverse query engine cache at or below a maximum cache size includes both adding new entries and removing old entries. Second, since Schneider's method continues to execute and maintain the cache at maximum size (column 8, lines 26-29), it must continuously monitor and keep track on the total size of cache, which requires the monitoring how many entries have been added and how many entries have been removed in order to update the total size]; **and**

adding a new query size to the cache size, the new query size identifying a size of the new query added to the inverse query engine cache [since the size of the

cache is the sum of the size of all queries in the tables, whenever a query is added to the cache, the size of the cache increases by the size of the query].

As to claim 18, Schneider teaches that **the method as recited in claim 17, wherein removing further comprises removing a query from the inverse query engine that has been used less recently than other queries stored in the inverse query engine cache** [generally cache rows are filled on a least-recently used (LRU) basis (column 7, lines 26-28)].

As to claim 19, refer to “As to claim 17” presented earlier in this Office Action.

As to claim 20, Schneider teaches that **the one or more computer-readable media as recited in claim 17, wherein adding the new query size to the cache size is performed before determining if the cache size is greater than or equal to the maximum cache size** [figure 4A, step 401, “while items < cache rows” determines if the size of the items to be added would exceed the size of a cache row; While the number of items is less than the cache size (step 401), the method continues to execute and maintain the cache at maximum size; any unique items (i.e., values) are added to the cache (column 8, lines 26-29)].

As to claim 21, refer to “A to claim 6” presented earlier in this Office Action.

As to claim 22, Schneider teaches that **the one or more computer-readable media as recited in claim 17, wherein the new query size is received with the new query** [figure 4A, step 401, “while items < cache rows” determines if the size of the items to be added would exceed the size of a cache row; While the number of items is less than the cache size (step 401), the method continues to execute and maintain the

Art Unit: 2186

cache at maximum size; any unique items (i.e., values) are added to the cache (column 8, lines 26-29)].

As to claim 23, Schneider teaches that **the one or more computer-readable media as recited in claim 17, further comprising instructions to perform the additional act of determining the new query size** [figure 4A, step 401, “while items < cache rows” determines if the size of the items to be added would exceed the size of a cache row; While the number of items is less than the cache size (step 401), the method continues to execute and maintain the cache at maximum size; any unique items (i.e., values) are added to the cache (column 8, lines 26-29)].

As to claim 24, Schneider teaches that **the one or more computer-readable media as recited in claim 23, wherein the determining the new query size further comprises estimating the new query size** [figure 4A, step 401, “while items < cache rows” determines if the size of the items to be added would exceed the size of a cache row; While the number of items is less than the cache size (step 401), the method continues to execute and maintain the cache at maximum size; any unique items (i.e., values) are added to the cache (column 8, lines 26-29)].

As to claim 25, refer to “As to claim 13” presented earlier in this Office Action.

As to claim 26, refer to “As to claim 1” presented earlier in this Office Action.

As to claim 27, refer to “A to claim 1” presented earlier in this Office Action.

As to claim 28, refer to “As to claim 6” presented earlier in this Office Action.

As to claim 29, Chen teaches that **the method as recited in claim 28, wherein an expired filter is a filter that has been stored in the inverse query engine cache**

for a predefined period of time [paragraph 0156: 21) time-out parameters for filter; paragraph 0151: 2) filters should expire after the subscribers have moved; paragraph 0154: 16) expiration of filters; paragraph 0158: 3) filter persistency (e.g., expiration time-outs); paragraph 0176: filter sender may have included a filter time-out. In this case, the determining step 458 checks the filter to see if the filter has expired; thus a filter is removed (i.e. not propagated any further) if it has expired].

As to claim 30, refer to “As to claim 29” presented earlier in this Office Action.

As to claim 31, refer to “As to claim 7” presented earlier in this Office Action.

11. *Related Prior Art*

The following list of prior art is considered to be pertinent to applicant's invention, but not relied upon for claim analysis conducted above.

- DeMarcken et al., (US Patent Application Publication 2004/0249682), “Filling a Query for Travel Planning.”
- Haas et al., (US 6,934,699), “System and Method for Loading a Cache with Query Results.”
- Gupta et al., (US 7,035,846), “Method, Computer Programs and Apparatus for caching Directory Queries.”
- Jackson, (US Patent Application Publication 2003/0123387), “Device and Method for Filtering Network Traffic.”
- Bennett, (US Patent Application Publication 2003/0204664), “Cache with Multiway Steering and Modified Cycle Reuse.”

- Fu et al., (US Patent Application Publication 2004/0111519), "Access Network Dynamic Firewall."

Conclusion

12. Claims 1-3, 5-11, 13-14 and 16-38 are rejected as explained above.

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sheng-Jen Tsai whose telephone number is 571-272-4244. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Kim can be reached on 571-272-4182. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Sheng-Jen Tsai/

TFSA Examiner, Art Unit 2186

July 25, 2008